IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
SUIT NO 1374 of 2007.

Kamal R. Rajyadhyaksha
through next friend
Siddharth N. Dabholkar ..Plaintiff

versus

Kanta Patel & Others ..Defendants.

And

Mr. Vasu Bhagnani ..Applicant

Alongwith

TESTAMENTARY SUIT NO.59 OF 2006
IN
TESTAMENTARY PETITION NO.349 OF 2006

Mukul M. Patel
& Another ..Petitioner

vs.

Mr. Praful Kalelkar ..Caveator

Mr.N.Y.Gupte for the Applicant

Mr.B.R.Zaveri for defendant No.3

Coram : S.R.Sathe, JJ.
Dated : 8th June, 2007

P.C.

1. Mentioned. Not on board.

2. Heard learned Advocate for the plaintiff as well as the learned Advocate for the defendant No.3.

The learned advocate submits that though there was direction to carry out the amendment immeidately, as the papers were not available, the amendment was not carried out and as such he may be allowed to carry out the amendment on or before 14th of this month.

3. Hence, Plaintiff to carry out the amendment on or before 14th June, 2007.

(S.R.Sathe, J.)